```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                Case No. 20-cr-577 (NSR)
 5
    -vs-
 6 DAVID KAUFMAN,
 7
                            Defendant.
 8
 9
                                 United States Courthouse
                                 White Plains, New York
10
                                 April 14, 2022
                                 11:51 a.m.
11
12 Before:
13
                                 HONORABLE NELSON S. ROMÁN
14
                                 United States District Judge
15
   A P P E A R A N C E S:
16
   DAMIAN WILLIAMS
       United States Attorney for the
17
        Southern District of New York
18 JANE KIM
       Assistant United States Attorney
19
20 HODGES, WALSH & BURKE
  MICHAEL K. BURKE
       Attorney for Defendant
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

```
THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is the proceeding in criminal
 1
  matter 20-cr-577, United States of America against David
 2
   Kaufman.
             Counsel, please state your appearances for the record.
 4
             MS. KIM: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jane Kim for
 5
   the government.
 7
             MR. BURKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Michael
   Burke, Hodges, Walsh & Burke on behalf of David Kaufman.
 9
             THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I am going to ask
   everybody to remain seated throughout this proceeding. Make
10
   sure you have the microphones in front of you so that the court
11
12
   reporter can memorialize everything that's said here today.
             Under normal circumstances, I understand you would be
13
14
   rising when you address the Court. I know it's out of respect,
15
   but I think in order to continue to comply with social
   distancing protocols in light of the Covid-19 pandemic, I am
16
   going to ask everyone to remain seated. It minimizes movement.
17
             This is a proceeding in the matter of United States
18
   versus David Kaufman, docket number 21-cr-518. It is intended
19
20
   to be the sentencing of the defendant. Both counsels have given
   their appearances on the record, and the record should reflect
21
22
   that the defendant, David Kaufman, is present in the courtroom
23
   and seated next to counsel.
24
             Before I proceed with the sentencing portion of this
25 hearing, the parties have provided the Court with a signed
```

```
Consent Order of Restitution and a signed Consent Protective
  Order.
 2
 3
            Mr. Kaufman, I am going to ask you a few questions
  before we get to the sentencing. All right. I have before me a
   Consent Order of Restitution. Did you review the Consent Order
  of Restitution with the assistance of your attorney?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes. That's correct.
             THE COURT: Do you understand that you have agreed to
 8
   pay a sum of money or sums of money as restitution to the
10 victims in this case?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
             THE COURT: All right. When you went over the
12
13 document with your attorney, did you understand everything that
14 the document contained?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
             THE COURT: And did your attorney answer all the
16
17 questions --
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he did.
18
             THE COURT: -- that you had with respect to this
19
20 document?
21
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Did you sign this document
23 voluntarily and of your own free will?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: All right. The record should reflect that
```

```
the Order of Restitution was sent, or Consent Order of
  Restitution has been so ordered by the Court, and it's marked
  actually as Court Exhibit Number 2. All right?
            Mr. Kaufman, I also have before me -- let me go back
 4
   to the Consent Order of Restitution.
 6
             Mr. Burke, did you review the Consent Order of
 7
  Restitution with your attorney -- I mean with your client?
             MR. BURKE: I did, Your Honor.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. And based on your discussions
10 with him, do you believe that he understood the terms of the
11 Consent Order of Restitution?
             MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Let's move on to the Consent
13
14 Order of Protection.
15
            All right. Mr. Kaufman, did you review the Consent
16 Order of Protection?
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
17
             THE COURT: Did you review it with the assistance of
18
19 your attorney?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: I did.
21
             THE COURT: And did your attorney answer any and all
22 questions that you had with respect to the Consent Order of
23
   Protection?
24
            THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: All right. Did anyone force you to sign
```

5

```
this document?
 2
             THE DEFENDANT: No.
 3
             THE COURT: Did you sign this document freely and of
   your own free will?
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            Yes.
 6
             THE COURT: Do you understand that you have agreed to
   stay at least 100 yards away from the victims listed in the
   attachment that's referenced as Attachment A? And in Attachment
   A it references three victims, along with several family members
   of the victims. Do you understand that?
10
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
12
             THE COURT: Do you also understand that you have
13
  agreed to stay at least 100 yards away from the home, school,
14
  business, and place of employment of the victims and the other
   individuals named in the attachment?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: Do you understand that you have agreed to
17
   refrain from having any communication or any other contact
18
19
   directly or through any other person by mail, telephone, email,
20
   voicemail, social media or any other means with the victims and
   the other individuals listed in Attachment A?
21
22
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
             THE COURT: Do you also understand that you have
24 agreed to refrain from harassing, intimidating, threatening or
   otherwise interfering with the victims and members of the
25
```

```
victims' household? Do you understand that?
 2
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: All right. And you indicated that you
  signed this document freely and of your own free will?
 5
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burke, did you review the
 7
   Consent Order of Protection with your client?
 8
             MR. BURKE: I did.
 9
             THE COURT: And based on your discussions with him, is
10
  it your opinion that he understands fully all the terms
11
  contained therein?
12
             MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: All right. So the record should reflect
13
   that the Court has forwarded the Consent Order of Protection.
14
15 It should also -- it's also my understanding that there were two
   additional victims in this case?
16
             MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for raising that
17
  with the government. There are potentially three additional
18
19
   victims, and the government plans to reach out to those victims;
20
   confirm that they are comfortable being listed on the
21
   attachment, and then we will confer with defense counsel, and if
22
   appropriate, file an amended Order of Protection.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Burke, are you aware of
24
   that?
25
             MR. BURKE: We did have those discussions, Your Honor.
```

7

```
And I am aware of it, and if they wish to be added, we will have
  those discussions, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Okay. Let's now proceed to the portion
 3
   of --
 5
             (Pause)
 6
             THE COURT: The record should reflect that the Consent
 7
   Order of Protection is marked as a Court exhibit, Court Exhibit
   Number 1. All right.
             Let's proceed to the sentencing portion of the
10 hearing. All right. I have reviewed the presentence
11 investigation report filed March 7, 2022, which was prepared in
   connection with today's sentencing of Mr. Kaufman; the
12
   defendant's submission dated April 7, 2022; and the government's
13
   submission dated April 12, 2022.
14
15
             Ms. Kim, has the government reviewed the presentence
16 report?
17
             MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: And does the government have any
18
19
   objections to the presentence report?
20
             MS. KIM: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. Burke, have you reviewed the
21
22 presentence report?
23
             MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to discuss it
25 with your client, Mr. Kaufman?
```

```
1
             MR. BURKE:
                         I have.
 2
             THE COURT: Do you have any objections to the report
   which you wish to raise on behalf of your client at this time?
 4
             MR. BURKE:
                        No, Your Honor.
 5
                        Mr. Kaufman, did you read and review the
             THE COURT:
  presentence report?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT:
                            Yes, I did.
 8
             THE COURT: And have you discussed it with your
 9
   attorney?
10
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             I have.
11
             THE COURT: Mr. Kaufman, the Indictment, which was
   docketed under ECF number 9, filed on October 26, 2020, charges
12
13
   you with the following: Count One, knowingly transmitting in
   interstate and foreign commerce a communication containing a
14
   threat to injure the person of another, in violation of Title 18
15
   United States Code Sections 875(c) and 2.
16
             Count Two, using the mail, any interactive computer
17
   service or electronic communication service or electronic
18
19
   communication system of interstate commerce, or any other
20
   facility of interstate or foreign commerce, with the intent to
   kill, injure, harass, and intimidate another person to engage in
21
   a course of conduct that, A, placed that person in reasonable
22
23
   fear of the death of, and serious bodily injury to, that person
24
  and to that person's family member or intimate partner; and B,
   caused, attempted to cause, and would be reasonably expected to
25
```

```
cause substantial and emotional distress to that person, in
  violation of a temporary or permanent civil or criminal
  injunction, restraining order, no-contact order, or other order
   described in Title 18 United States Code Section 2226 in
   violation of Title 18 United States Code Sections 2264(a)(2)(A),
  2261(a)(2)(B), 2261(b)(6), 2226, and 2.
 7
             On December 15, 2021, the defendant entered a plea to
   Count Two of the Indictment before this Court.
             Ms. Kim, does the government have any comments or
10 motions for the Court's consideration before I sentence the
11 defendant?
             MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor. Before I begin, I just
12
   wanted to note for the Court, that Victim-1 and Victim-2 and
13
  Victim-3 and their family members are present in the courtroom,
14
15 and Victim-1, Victim-2 and Victim-3 respectfully request to the
   opportunity to be heard.
16
             THE COURT: So let's -- before you address the Court,
17
18 let's allow the victims to address the Court.
             MS. KIM: Certainly, Your Honor. One question:
19
20
   the victims come to the podium to speak, would you like them to
   take their masks off or keep them on?
21
22
             THE COURT: Just hold on for a second.
23
             (Discussion off the record)
24
             THE COURT: Was everybody able to hear that in the
25
   audience?
```

```
MS. KIM: One more test.
 1
 2
             Your Honor, we would like to first start with Victim-3
  if we could.
 4
             THE COURT: All right. So that's okay. Is the filter
       Okay. So I am going to ask as the -- when you are called
  up to come forward, I am going to ask you to stand in front of
   the podium with the microphone, and to speak directly into the
  microphone that's at the podium. You can take off your mask,
   okay, but then once you leave or once you finish speaking, you
10
   must put your mask back on. All right?
11
             MS. KIM:
                      Thank you, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Hold on just a second.
13
             (Pause)
             THE COURT: Let's have Victim Number 3.
14
15
            MS. KIM: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: You can come forward, and you can address
   the Court.
17
             VICTIM-3: Good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is
18
  Alyssa Cascone, and I am joined here today by my loving parents
19
20
   and my wonderful fiancé.
21
             THE COURT: I know that this is a difficult thing you
22
   are doing.
23
             VICTIM-3: I got it.
24
             THE COURT: I'm just going to ask you to slow down,
   and the reason for that is because everything that's said in
25
```

```
court has to be memorialized. So if you kind of rush through
   it, it becomes difficult for Darby to kind of hear what you have
   to say and memorialize your statement. Okay?
             VICTIM-3: Got it. I traveled nearly 300 miles to be
 4
  here in person to attempt to find closure in a two-year-long
  nightmare my family and I were put in beginning in 2019.
 7
             February 9, 2019, was the day that I will never
   forget. At the time I was in graduate school preparing for my
   professional license exam for my master's degree. That Sunday
   afternoon my dad came in from getting the mail looking confused
10
   at what he had in his hand. He asked my mom to meet him in the
11
12
   kitchen, but there was an odd tone in his voice, one of terror
13
   and fear, then true anger. I then heard my mom gasping and
14
   shrieking in disbelief. As I read the piece of paper, I began
   shaking, my knees weak as I dropped to the floor, tears rolling
15
   down my face. "I am going to tear your skin off and rape you,
16
   you stupid whore. Bomb in the mailbox. Boom." Written in all
17
   caps accompanied by a smiley face. "You are going to die."
18
             These words still to this day ran in my mind. I was
19
20
   in a state of shock. Who could do this? Where did this come
   from? The most disturbing handwritten note addressed to me put
21
   in my mailbox unprovoked. I wouldn't sleep for 24 hours.
22
23
   laid awake in my bed wondering if someone was peering in my
24
  window, watching my every move, wondering if someone would break
   into my house any minute armed and hurt me or my family.
25
```

Was there a bomb somewhere? We didn't know, and truly 1 the only word I have to describe it is horrifying. I lived in a 2 constant state of fear. Every blind in my house was down. traveled with pepper spray. My family installed surveillance cameras covering every inch of my house. I checked my car daily for GPS trackers. I had an escort to and from my car at graduation -- at graduate school. My parents waited for me every night in the garage to make sure I made it home safely, and I lived like this every day. 10 Even miles away, this event has still taken an emotional toll on my mental health that can never be erased. 11 12 am always taking note of who is around me, who may be following 13 too closely, and I have to censor my social media and not give away too much information about where I am or who I am with 14 because of the constant fear the defendant has instilled in me. 15 I still wake up sweating from vivid nightmares. 16 The day the defendant was arrested was the day I was 17 able to find some sort of solace knowing that my family and I 18 19 were safe, at least for a little bit. I lived for one year with 20 this relief, but I know that there will be one day where I will not have this comfort, and that is the day that he is released 21 from prison. My family lives within feet of his residence. How 22 23 can we feel comfortable going to sleep every night? 24 they go for a walk or unload their car? They live in constant fear like we all did before the defendant was arrested. 25

```
Your Honor, I urge you to think about the nature of
 1
  his crimes and what could happen when this individual is
 2
   released from prison. He idolizes a man who murdered innocent
   people solely because of their gender; someone who subscribes to
   a violent and misogynistic ideology of male supremacy.
 6
             Please think about our community. Think about
 7
   innocent women, moms, daughters, granddaughters, friends.
   about the two schools that are within walking distance from his
   residence, and the children and the teachers. Please think
   about me and the other victims that are here today.
10
   defendant poses a risk to all of those around him. I am asking
11
12
   for the maximum sentencing possible to ensure the protection of
   innocent bystanders that might fall victim to the defendant's
13
   actions.
14
15
             Your Honor, thank you for listening to me today.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
                      Thank you, Your Honor. Victim-2 now would
17
             MS. KIM:
  like to speak.
18
19
             THE COURT: All right. Just give us a second.
20
             VICTIM-2: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Judge, this
   situation with David Kaufman has affected me in more ways than
21
22
         The situation severely impacted the normalcy of various
23
   aspects of my life. As a musician, it's very important to go
24
   out of my way to put myself out there, whether it's trying to
   post or promote myself online or physically performing in
25
```

Because of this, I've become a lot more private and public. refrain from posting online because I fear I will constantly be harassed by David. I also fear that he will show up to one of my performances or harm me or people that I know. 5 The trust that I once had with people is diminished. It has been a lot harder to build new relationships with people that have a similar resemblance to David. I feel that person will have violent obsessive tendencies. This isn't a way to live, and I don't think it's fair that it is something I have to 10 go through. I am also very sceptical about people now and very cautious about letting new people into my life. Ever since this 11 problem started, I have become more paranoid. I've always had 12 13 David in the back of my mind, and that has led me to have 14 frequent nightmares, and these nightmares usually have David showing up wherever I may be and trying to harm me. 15 paranoia always has me panicking whenever I hear a noise outside 16 17 or someone is knocking at the door of the house. The first thought that pops into my mind is that it has to be David, and 18 19 the horrible photo and threatening message David sent me of the 20 dead female resembling Chrissy will forever be ingrained into my mind. 21 22 It's not fair for my family to feel like that, to worry about someone like David harassing them. They have been 23 24 worried about him stalking and appearing at night. I cannot believe that this situation has gotten to a point where it has 25

```
affected my family when they have nothing, absolutely nothing to
   do with it. Now, even my mom feels like she has to worry about
 2
   someone imitating me on the Internet.
 4
             Although I strongly believe that David deserves the
  maximum sentence for what he has done, it has not only affected
  me, but it's affected the people I care about around me. I
   don't feel that this will be the end after he gets out of jail,
   and I don't think he has any remorse for the pain he has caused.
 9
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
10
             VICTIM-2: Thank you.
11
             MS. KIM: Your Honor, Victim-1 would now like to
12
   speak.
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
             VICTIM-1: Your Honor, while writing this impact
14
15
   statement and reflecting on the trauma I faced for nearly a
   year, I almost felt as if I was looking back on a completely
16
   different person. Unfortunately, I have come to realize that
17
   due to the actions of David Kaufman, I am not at all the same
18
19
  person I once was nor will I ever be again. There are so many
20
   things about my old self that I miss dearly: The trust I had in
21
   people's intentions, the ability to take a walk without
22
   obsessively checking behind my back, the ability to have a sound
23
   sleep without nightmares, being able to wake up in the morning
24
  without taking three different kinds of depression and anti-
   anxiety medication; being able to hear the name "David" without
25
```

having severe anxiety due to PTSD.

2

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When David's harassment started, I was still in the process of grieving the death of my father. During this time, it had been a month shy of the one-year anniversary of his sudden death. Being re-traumatized again and again with the comments disgracing my father's name, speaking of how happy he was that the maggots were eating my father in the ground, and making a Twitter account titled "John Melfi is Dead Ha Ha." This harassment for nearly a year during this already horrific time in my life took away the ability to properly grieve my father's death, which still affects me to this day, and I will 11 never get that precious time back again.

With the 25th year of my life being stolen by David Kaufman also came my diagnosis of depression, anxiety, and PTSD. When I enter a building, I am constantly checking all exit points in case David finds out where I am. Being on stage as a performer makes me feel I am at the most vulnerable being in a wide open space and in part my passion, acting, which in the past has made me feel most at ease, has given me a feeling of terror and dread. Loud noises send me into an intense panic attack wondering if David has found me, and this will have been my last day on Earth. I am always triple-checking every door in my home has been locked, trying to come up on an exit strategy on how to hide my disabled mother in case he finds my home and 25 makes good on his threats to burn my house down with him and me

```
in it.
 2
             David did not need to ever physically see me, speak to
  me, or be in my presence in order to ruin my life in more ways
   than I think I even fully comprehend yet. Although it seems
   that justice will be served, David's constant public threats to
   kill me came through. I may still be physically alive, but my
   innocent, trusting self was killed the day that David made the
   decision to make me his victim.
 9
             I ask that David be punished to the full extent of the
   law so that I can have some form of peace throughout this
10
   horrible experience. Although this will never truly be over, I
11
12
   will spend the rest of my life trying to get back the little
13
   pieces of me that David stole. Thank you.
14
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
15
             All right. Ms. Kim, does the government have any
   comments or motions for the Court's consideration before I
16
17
   impose a sentence upon the defendant?
18
             MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor. I would also like to
19
   speak.
20
             Your Honor, the defendant has robbed, very clearly
   robbed, these victims of their safety, their security, and their
21
   sense of trust, and the government requests the top of the
22
23
   guidelines sentence here as necessary to reflect the seriousness
24
   of the offense, to provide just punishment, to protect the
   victims and the community from harm, and for purposes of
25
```

specific and general deterrence.

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I wanted to start off by saying in preparing for this sentencing I thought a lot about the defendant's conduct, his background, and the victims in this case. I thought a lot about other threat cases that our office has prosecuted. For example, United States versus Yilmaz, where the defendant sent numerous emails to his former girlfriend and was sentenced to 37 months, the top of the guidelines, range by Judge Ramos. And at the heart of each of these cases was fear. Fear that the defendant wanted their victims to feel; fear of an attack, an assault, a rape, a murder. Fear that stopped the victims from living their lives freely. The defendant here has already admitted that he terrorized women because he wanted him them to be afraid. He wanted to impact their lives, and the facts confirm his admissions.

I would ask this Court here to imagine what the victims have experienced. Imagine receiving a handwritten note at your childhood home that names you by first and last name that was dropped off in person in your mailbox; that is clearly from someone who knows you and knows where you and your family live, a note that threatens to rape you until you cry, rip your skin off, bomb you and murder you.

You report the note to police, and you eventually get 24 an order of protection, a piece of paper; and despite that court order, the defendant continues to stalk you by Googling your

name and looking for your new address. For someone who is not a judge, a prosecutor or a federal agent, for an average member of the public, the fear caused by the defendant and the fear that he wanted to instill in the victims deeply impacts your life. As Victim-3 just explained, her whole family lived in 5 They installed surveillance cameras in every corner of their house. Victim-3 needed to find someone to escort her to and from her car every day. They were in constant fear that they were being watched and that they would be attacked. Now for Victim-1 and Victim-2, the defendant's threats 10 and stalking extended for a much longer period, beyond one note 11 12 to dozens and dozens of messages across over 50 social media 13 accounts for nearly a year. Threats to hang Victim-1, to slash, 14 to stab, to shoot, to rape, and to kill. And there was no stopping the defendant. When the victims blocked his account 15 online, he simply created new ones over and over and over. 16 17 started posting threats publicly and sending messages to the 18 victims' friends. He was asked to stop three times by law enforcement. He remained undeterred. He was then arrested and 19 20 charged by the state. He was ordered to stop harassing the victims by a judge. He still remained undeterred. He felt he 21 22 was above the law. 23 Imagine knowing that a college classmate of yours, one 24 who knows you, where you live, what you do for a living, one who is so laser focused on you that he spends each day harassing you 25

```
and sending death threats. Imagine that fear.
                                                   That fear
   impacted Victim-1 and Victim-2. As they explained, as
 2
   performers, they were unable to post freely online to promote
   their careers, their music and their art. They were afraid of
   stepping on a public stage. They are constantly looking around
   them, over their shoulders, afraid that they are not safe.
 7
             Victim-1 suffers from PTSD and depression. Victim-2
   suffers from paranoia, and he felt that the defendant was
   planning something before his arrest in September of 2020, and
  he was. He was starting to plan an attack. He was researching
10
  how to make an assault rifle, how to illegally purchase guns,
11
   where Victim-1 lived, what her house looked like.
12
             For these victims, the defendant's conduct changed
13
   their lives. He took away their freedom and their ability to
14
   live without fear.
15
             There is another similarity across these threat cases,
16
   and that is that the defendants in these cases often
17
   dramatically minimize their conduct. Here, the defendant
18
   characterizes his crimes as using "provocative language." But
19
20
   his conduct was so much more than that. His stalking spree was
   extremely serious, calculated and profoundly harmful. In
21
22
   addition to the fear and the harm caused on the victims, there
23
   are other aspects of the defendant's conduct that are extremely
24
   serious and troubling. The first is that the defendant is a
   repeat offender.
25
```

February 2019, he threatened and harassed Victim-3. 1 Later in the month, February 2019, he harassed another woman and 2 was approached by law enforcement and asked to stop. In the fall of 2019, he began harassing and stalking Victim-1, Victim-2, and their mutual friends and acquaintances. This was not a one-off event. The defendant has harassed and threatened many, many victims over the course of many months. 8 The second is that the defendant was driven by a deepseated hatred of women. None of the victims did anything in 10 this case to trigger the defendant. Instead, he picked them because they were women, and because, adhering to incel 11 12 ideology, they had wronged him by not giving him sex or 13 attention. This is extremely concerning because there is no way 14 of knowing who the defendant's next victim may be. Any woman 15 could be his next target. This is also concerning because the defendant still harbors the same hatred towards women, which I 16 will discuss in a minute. 17 The third troubling aspect of the defendant's conduct 18 19 is that he was receiving mental health treatment for nearly the 20 entire year he stalked Victim-1 and Victim-2. He was on the 21 same medication he is on now, and he was meeting weekly with his psychiatrist up until the time of his arrest in September 2020. 22 23 His psychiatrist and his family were aware of his conduct and 24 his July 2020 state arrest. His psychiatrist and family decided that around that time it was a "good idea" for him to move out 25

```
of the family home and live by himself, and during this time
  period, he violated court orders and escalated his threats into
   attack planning.
             The record here shows that the defendant's mental
 4
  health treatment did not help. It did not deter him from
   committing crimes, and it actually coincides with when his
   conduct was most severe, which leads me to my fourth point:
   That nothing here deterred the defendant short of detention, not
   his mental health treatment, not his parents, not his family,
   not four warnings by law enforcement, not state charges or a
10
   state arrest, not a court-ordered order of protection, not
11
12
   pretrial supervision. This is a defendant who clearly believed
13
   he was above the law, and this is also a defendant who was given
   opportunity after opportunity to abide by the law.
14
   enforcement reached out to him, talked to him, asked him to
15
   stop, and he rejected all of these opportunities.
16
             The fifth is that the defendant didn't just threaten,
17
   stalk and harass. He planned an attack. He started researching
18
   where Victim-1 lived. He found her address. He stored a
19
20
   photograph of her home on his cellphone. He started researching
   how to make a semiautomatic rifle and how to buy a gun. He
21
22
   continued to watch incel propaganda. The defendant's criminal
23
   conduct only stopped when he was arrested and detained in this
24
   case, which brings us to where we are today.
25
             Your Honor, today the record establishes the defendant
```

```
continues to pose a danger to the victims and to the community.
  All of the evidence shows that he will recidivate upon release.
   The main point here, Your Honor, is this: How many more
   opportunities should this defendant be afforded to abide by the
   law and at the expense of public safety? There is no quarantee
   that he won't resume his stalking of the victims or of other
   women once released into the community. The defendant continues
   to harbor hostility against women, and he still appears to be an
   incel. He continues to be volatile, and according to his own
   doctors, he is at risk for harming himself or others.
10
11
             His doctors describe the defendant in the following
12
          Dr. Brody, who treated the defendant when he stalked and
13
   threatened the victims from 2019 to 2020, described the
   defendant as a "very troubled man." This is Exhibit C at 1.
14
15
             Dr. Kessler, who treated the defendant from 2021 to
   2022, draws similar conclusions and states that the defendant
16
   "remains very much troubled." This is Exhibit D at 2.
17
             As an aside, Your Honor, the defense would like me to
18
19
   clarify that on page 2 of the government's submission there
20
   should be a citation to both Dr. Kessler and Dr. Brody's letters
   with respect to the quote "very troubled" quotation. Dr.
21
   Kessler, the full quotation is that the defendant's "medications
22
23
   have not dissipated nor offset the active aggressive fantasy
24
   that he, the defendant, experiences in his thinking." He goes
   on to say, "David Kaufman remains very troubled by his inability
25
```

```
to establish meaningful heterosexual relationships."
  regard he noted, quoting the defendant, "Women never give me the
   time of day."
             Kate Termini, the defendant's psychologist, describes
 4
   the defendant as "quick to harbor strong feelings of resentment
  as a result of perceived slights and insults." This is Defense
   Exhibit D at 2. After conducting testing on the defendant,
   Termini concluded that the defendant is "likely to be extremely
   sensitive in social interactions and very quick to perceive
   rejection, real or imagined, by others."
10
11
             She goes on to say that the defendant's "combination
12
   of impulsivity and anger and dysphoria could place him at an
   increased risk for self harm or acting out behaviors," and this,
13
   of course, includes harming others.
14
15
             These are all red flags. They are markers of a
   recidivist.
16
             Third, the defendant paints himself as a victim.
17
   deflects blame and, quite frankly, that's exactly what incels
18
        Incels blame women for their inability to cultivate
19
20
   romantic relationships. What the defendant asked for here,
   outpatient treatment and to live with his parents, these are the
21
22
   same exact circumstances under which he committed the offenses.
23
             I want to now just touch briefly on the defendant's
24
   sentencing submission, which, to the government, is extremely
   troubling on many levels.
25
```

First, the defendant's submission only focuses on what 1 It in no way addresses the other 3553(a) factors. 2 doesn't engage with his own criminal conduct apart from minimizing his threats as "provocative language." Second, the defendant dramatically overstates his 5 cognitive deficits. He tries to paint himself as a child, as boyish and immature, and this immaturity is why he does not to this day recognize the harm he caused. In other words, the defendant believes that his conduct was not a big deal. Your 10 Honor, this is an age-old excuse that has been used by young men across the country. Boys will be boys. They will grow out of 12 it. Give him a chance. It is why grown men are constantly 13 getting breaks for acts of violence against women: Sexual 14 assault, rape, domestic violence and stalking. A time-served sentence here is simply not appropriate. 15 The defendant, moreover, is not a child. He is a man. 16 He is nearly 30 years old. He is educated. He has a college 17 18 He has been supported by his upper middle class family for all of his life. He is extremely privileged. He is not 19 20 entitled here to yet another opportunity at the expense of the victims' safety. 21 22 Finally, the defendant tries to hide behind his mental 23 health issues, similar to the defendant in Yilmaz. Your Honor, 24 I think everyone in this room has sympathy for mental health issues, which are extremely prevalent in the world today. 25

According to the National Institute for Mental Health, nearly one in five people in the U.S. have mental health issues. of those issues are far more severe than the defendant's, but not everyone who suffers from a mental health issue or depression then stalks and terrorizes multiple women and tries to plan a violent attack. 7 The defendant, moreover, and his family, and his therapists have known about his mental health issues for at least a decade beginning in high school, and he's been privileged enough to receive significant counseling and 10 treatment for years. The defendant was getting treatment, and 11 12 he was on medication when he committed these crimes, and 13 moreover, he has a history of not committing to his mental health treatment, including noncompliance with his medication. 14 I submit to the Court that in this case the 15 defendant's mental health is simply not an excuse for his year-16 17 long, calculated, unrelenting spree of violent threats. of rape, sexual assault, hanging, shooting, stabbing, stalking 18 and murder. Threats that terrorized his victims and his 19 20 community that came from a place of deep-seated hatred and hostility towards women, threats that he acted upon in beginning 21 to plan an attack. 22 23 Finally, the defendant's submission is troubling 24 because it states the defendant does not understand the seriousness of his offense. That is clearly a problem, and it 25

begs the guestions: Will the defendant abide by the orders of protection, by the terms of his supervised release? Who would guarantee that the victims in this case will be safe? Who can quarantee that the defendant won't stop and threaten other victims? The answer, Your Honor, is no one. Not his parents, not his psychiatrist. But the Court can ensure that the defendant does not harm others for at least 16 more months, 16 more months during which the victims can breathe freely and try to heal from the defendant's horrific conduct. Sixteen more months during which the victims do not need to worry about the 10 defendant attacking them. 11 In closing, Your Honor, sentences, as the Court knows, 12 13 impact the way the public views certain crimes. They can affect whether victims come forward to report future crimes or 14 15 cooperate with law enforcement. Lenient sentences for stalking send a message to the public that these crimes are not serious, 16 not important enough; that the victims' trauma does not matter. 17 We ask the Court to show the victims in this case, the 18 19 defendant, and the public that this case matters; that what the 20 defendant did was wrong and serious, and that he will be held 21 accountable. Such a sentence would ensure the safety and 22 security of the victims. 23 And for all of these reasons, and those in our 24 submission, the government respectfully submits that a sentence at the top of the guidelines range of 30 to 37 months is 25

```
necessary and warranted in this case.
                                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, counselor.
 3
             Mr. Burke, is there anything you would like to say on
  behalf of your client before I impose a sentence upon him?
 5
             MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor. And my client would like
   to speak as well.
 7
             THE COURT:
                        Yes.
 8
             MR. BURKE: Your Honor, first, I provided to the
   government a copy of a certificate that I received this morning
10
   from my client where he completed the eight-week Resolve to Stop
   Violence Program at the Westchester County Jail, and I would
11
   like to provide a copy of that to the Court.
12
13
             THE COURT: Sure.
             MR. BURKE: I have already provided a copy to the
14
15
   government.
16
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
             MR. BURKE: Your Honor, David Kaufman is a 28-year-old
17
  man who has severe mental illness that we have outlined, and
18
19
   that is outlined in the presentence report. He has fully
20
   accepted his responsibility by pleading guilty to the offense of
21
   Internet stalking, and he will speak as to an apology and
22
   remorse that he feels for the conduct and his threats and the
23 harm that that has caused.
24
             He has no criminal history. There is no history
   whatsoever in his school records of any kind of assaultive
25
```

behavior.

041422.2 PROCEEDINGS 29

In this case he had made threats over the Internet,

horrific, awful statements to the victims who he knew from school and from his neighborhood. His sentence -- he has already been incarcerated, as the Court knows, for 20 months, nearly two times the mandatory minimum of one year for this There are certain measures that can be put in place here, Your Honor, to provide the victims some degree of closure and moving on. The first is his father is present in the court, and has been present throughout this proceeding. I've spoken 10 with him, and he has taken steps and signed a contract. are selling their home. They are moving from the neighborhood 11 12 where Victim-3 lives so that they can begin to move on in their 13 lives, and they can move to a different location so that they 14 don't have to have that constant fear of a neighbor up the 15 street. There also is monitoring software on his computer and 16 phone that will be part of his probationary supervision to make 17 sure he is not conducting any searches or making any further 18 threats. GPS monitoring on him is a condition of probation. 19 Ιf 20 the probation officer sees fit, they can also address where he 21 is located, where he is not allowed to go, and if there is any violation whatsoever, through a GPS monitoring system, as well 22 23 as the continued mental health treatment that he began with Dr. 24 Kessler, who is the Probation's mental health treatment 25 provider. He began that, Your Honor, as I stated in my

```
sentencing submission, remotely because the jail does not
  provide the cognitive behavioral therapy that he's needed.
   do provide the medication, and he is receiving that medication.
  He is actually on a different medication now. He is on Luvox
   and Seroquel. He previously was on Prozac and Seroquel. The
   levels that he's on now are higher and have stabilized his
   anger, his thoughts, his improper conduct.
 8
             For 20 months he hasn't had any issues while at jail.
   Yes, his communications have been limited, but there were no
10
   letters sent or further threatening conduct. There was no phone
   calls made of further threatening conduct. Again, I know that
11
12
   that's monitored, but nonetheless, there also wasn't any issues
13
   where he got into assaults with female staff, female mental
  health professionals, nothing along those lines.
14
15
             He completed the anger management program that I have
   stated while in jail. The sessions that he's had with Dr.
   Kessler since October has provided a base and has built a
17
   rapport with Dr. Kessler. I spoke to him again this morning,
18
19
   and we want to be able to continue that. Unfortunately, because
20
   of the structure, he was doing it remotely through Securus,
21
   through an app, and now the jail has no longer allowed that to
             The jail has, through their outside provider, Wellpath
22
23
   is the name of the provider, has reached out to Mental Health
24
  Associates of Westchester County, had Mr. Kaufman screened, and
25 he has been accepted. The letter was in September of 2021.
```

have been in contact with them again to update that, and they have -- they have arranged for a further screening so he could be accepted into that intensive outpatient program, one which he has never engaged in before. He is committed to addressing his mental health 5 issues. He is remorseful. I have spoken to him at length about that, and he is prepared to read his own statement because I think his words, not mine, are more important for the victims to hear. As I have stated, Your Honor, in my sentencing 10 submission, his mental health conditions involved depression, 11 12 body dysmorphia disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, mixed personality disorder, as well as a strong likelihood that he had 13 antecedent stemming from autism spectrum disorder. 14 15 A 20-month sentence incarcerated during the pandemic there was times -- the Court is well aware of this from the number of motions you get as far as compassionate release --17 when you are in lockdown 24 hours a day because of quarantines, 18 because of the coronavirus that spread throughout the 19 20 Westchester County Jail. He has been there that whole time, 21 going through the quarantines, having additional confinement 22 where he is not allowed to leave his cell. Those are all 23 because of his actions and the threats in this case. He is 24 aware that he has caused great harm to the victims, and as I

25

said, he will speak to that.

Your Honor, when you factor in the 3553(a) factors, as 1 Probation recognizes in paragraph 117 that the Court can 2 consider Mr. Kaufman's purported mental health conditions and lack of criminal history for a sentence below the quidelines. A 20-month sentence is not nothing. He has been incarcerated for that period of time for his conduct. This isn't a free pass as the government would suggest. Sixteen more months won't address the core issues of the cognitive behavioral therapy that he didn't have before that he will have now and he began with Dr. Kessler. 10 11 THE COURT: I am going to interrupt you, and I am 12 going to apologize, but this is the concern that I have. The 13 government makes a compelling case, and that is: Not only was your client receiving medication and therapy, all right, during 14 the course of these acts, all right, but despite that, it 15 appears to me that there was a relentless assault on these 16 victims, all right, and that even after law enforcement agencies 17 were notified, that persistent attack never lessened. 18 that's a major concern to me because, look, I can impose a 19 20 sentence on him, right, and I can give him the max under the 21 sentencing quidelines, but my concern goes beyond that. All How do I assure that there is the -- that this is a 22 23 deterrence? That I impose a sentence that's a deterrence when 24 there is someone who is under therapy, is on medication, and then gets informed by law enforcement that they are on notice of 25

```
his criminal behavior, and is given an order of protection and
   flaunts the order of protection? That's the concern that I
   have.
 4
             MR. BURKE: And I appreciate the Court's concern, and
   the difference here is that he has now been incarcerated for
  20 months, and the fear of going back to prison and being re-
   incarcerated if he runs afoul of violating any of the conditions
   of supervised release.
 9
             As to the mental health treatment, he wasn't fully
  medication compliant, but the difference between then and now
10
  are the 20 months that he's spent in jail.
11
             THE COURT: Yes, but this is the issue that I have
12
13
   with that, and it's an argument that's made routinely by defense
   attorneys. Part of the reason why he is compliant is because
14
  now he is on supervision. He is being watched. Right? And he
15
   also knows, all right, typically these defendants know that they
16
   are going to come before a judge, and that the judge is going to
17
   make the inquiry, all right? And so they attempt to be on their
18
```

best behavior while they are being detained pending sentencing. 19

20 All right. I have plenty of cases where these individuals

unfortunately go back to their old ways of noncompliance with 21

medication, all right, and wind up appearing before me again and 22

23 again and again.

24

25

These are serious acts, very serious acts. To think that someone can't leave their home for fear of being attacked

because someone is sending them repeat messages of, you know, threats, you know, they make a pretty compelling case, the victims and the government. MR. BURKE: Your Honor, I am in no way minimizing the 4 impact that his conduct has caused through these threats. I am addressing here is that we all agree that a time will come where he will be released. I have set up, hopefully, the pathway by having him with Dr. Kessler so that when he does get out, he has that rapport, and there is the continuum of care. 10 I know from experience with the BOP that when he leaves Valhalla, he is not going to get any mental health 11 12 treatment, and likely that the medication regime will change 13 when he -- wherever he goes through the BOP. Here, he has been 14 medication compliant. Yes, in part because he is being monitored, which he will be monitored when he gets out through 15 Probation. He has -- and the family has taken steps to try to 16 alleviate pain for Victim-3 by moving away so that they can just 17 hopefully allow them some healing and to move on. 18 19 So, Your Honor, you are right, his conduct was 20 egregious. His conduct was threatening, and it caused fear to these victims. I recognize that. He recognizes that. His 21 22 father recognizes that. Yes, he continued to make those threats 23 while he was out. Twenty months later, he is a different person 24 having spent 20 months in prison after spending no time in prison. The fear of going back has a sufficient fear here, Your 25

```
Honor. He is going to be on the maximum supervised release for
   three years. Probation can extend that if he violates, if he is
   not medication compliant, if he is not doing what he needs to
   do. He is moving back in with his parents.
 5
             THE COURT: Well, Probation can't extend that.
  would have to bring a violation --
 7
             MR. BURKE:
                        Yes. I am sorry.
             THE COURT: -- for supervised release, and then I
 8
   would have to have a hearing and determine whether or not it's
10
   appropriate.
11
             MR. BURKE: Correct.
12
             THE COURT: So there is a whole procedure --
13
             MR. BURKE:
                        Right.
             THE COURT: -- that has to take place.
14
15
             MR. BURKE: I meant that, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: I understand. I understand. But I want
16
   that to be clear that the Probation doesn't necessarily have the
17
           What they have the right to do is to come before the
18
   Court and seek an extension of supervision based on his failure
19
20
   to comply, and this is something that I typically tell the
   defendants; that when I sentence them, if they come before me,
21
22
   and it's proven that they violate the terms of their supervised
23
   release, I am not hesitant to impose the maximum sentence, which
24
  involves a time of detention. No qualms at all. I typically do
25
   that.
```

```
And with that understanding, he has that
 1
             MR. BURKE:
  hanging over his head, a potential three-year sentence with
 2
   supervised release again extended beyond that. So he has the
   appropriate motivations to remain medication compliant, not to
   go on the Internet again and threaten anyone, not to research
   anything on the Internet through the monitoring software, as we
   talked about, and the Court is well aware will be placed on his
   computer, including the GPS tracking device to make sure that he
   isn't going where he is not supposed to be consistent with the
   Order of Protection. Those are the conditions, Your Honor, that
10
   were not in place before, but will be in place now to some
11
12
   degree to assure the victims that this will not happen again.
13
             THE COURT: This is the troubling part that I have
14 with this, Mr. Burke.
15
             MR. BURKE: I am sorry?
             THE COURT: This is the troubling part that I have
16
   with this, and that is, you commit these acts, these threats;
17
   law enforcement coming to your home or wherever you are located.
18
19
   They tell you that we are aware of these threats. He gets
20
   served with papers, an Order of Protection, and despite that,
   the threats continue. That's the trouble that I am having with
21
22
   this.
23
             MR. BURKE:
                        And --
24
             THE COURT: And what you are asking me to do is to
25 basically give him time served, and basically put him back out
```

there; give him a piece of paper that says that he can't come within close proximity of certain individuals, victims, and the question that I have: Am my setting up a scenario where he is basically being put in the same scenario that he was when law enforcement officers come to his home and told him of his bad conduct and served him with a notice of protection? Am I doing that? Or do I need to send a stronger message? That's the analysis that I am undertaking right now because it's apparent to me that he is in therapy. He is on medication. It doesn't take a brain surgeon to know that when the police come to your 10 home, and you are informed that your acts are criminal, that 11 these threats are criminal, that an Order of Protection has been 12 13 issued advising you to stay away, and despite that, it doesn't seem to work. It doesn't seem to deter. 14 So that's the -- that's the bottom line that I am 15 struggling with right now. You are asking for a time-served 16 sentence, and the government is saying this guy's a threat, he's 17 not going to be deterred. All right? And look how he's behaved 18 in the past when he was notified by law enforcement officers 19 20 that they are aware of his threats; that he has been handed an Order of Protection, and he still felt compelled, in the face of 21 22 all that, to continue threats. 23 So that's what I am processing in my mind in trying to 24 serve justice in this case at issue when I make a determination as to what's an appropriate sentence. 25

```
MR. BURKE: Your Honor, I handle threat cases as well,
 1
  and one before Judge Karas --
 2
 3
             THE COURT: I understand that, but with all due
  respect, you are not the one that sentences someone, right?
 5
             MR. BURKE: No, I am not. And I know it's not an easy
   task, Your Honor. All I am saying is there, that individual who
   had mental illness, who was a young man, he continued his
   conduct, even while incarcerated, of making threats, which
   didn't occur here, and that's the only reason why I draw the
   parallel in saying, he's been incarcerated for 20 months, and
10
11
   that is a sufficient deterrent for anyone who's never been
   incarcerated before, as far as what the conditions of
12
   confinement are like, what he went through as far as being in
13
   lockdown.
14
15
             So to say that an additional amount of time would
   somehow further deter him, he has already been deterred.
   Twenty months has sent that message. An additional number of
17
   months isn't going to send the message any more.
18
19
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Burke?
20
             MR. BURKE:
                        No, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Burke.
22
             Mr. Kaufman, is there anything you would like to say
23
   on your own behalf before I impose a sentence upon you?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I would, sir. Thank you.
25
             It is with profound remorse that I --
```

THE COURT: Mr. Kaufman, you have to slow down, and I 1 understand -- I understand that you are nervous, but you have to 2 slow down so that everything that you say can be memorialized. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. It is with profound remorse that I give my most humble apologies to the victims, their families, and the community. I used the rage and selfish feelings inside of me as an excuse to hurt others, and I made them fear for their lives and the lives of their loved ones. Many of the victims had extended an olive branch to help me cope with my pain. I am grateful and honored by their generosity, 10 and I know they had good intentions. There is not a soul on this earth that deserves to be 12 13 harassed, stalked and threatened the way my victims were 14 subjected to. I cannot take away the harm I brought upon 15 others. I understand the implications of my actions and the consequences they bring. This is why I humbly accept the time I 16 served and hope the victims will quickly move on from the trauma 17 I brought upon them. 18 I also hurt my family through my reckless behavior. 19 20 They told me to stop what I was doing more times than I can 21 count, but every step of the way I pushed their concern aside for my own personal vendetta, and to them I apologize for what I 22 23 put them through. I have learned from this experience, and I 24 have learned to grow as a person. During my time in jail, I 25 have been finding the correct path for myself and will continue

```
to do so for the sake of my mental health, my community, and my
 2
   family.
             And I have one more letter I would like to read, Your
 3
   Honor.
 5
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
 6
             THE DEFENDANT: Just yesterday I read the victim
   impact statements, and they are all -- the person that I was --
   I am not the person that I was. Looking back, it is hard to
   believe that I let myself get to a point where I terrorized,
   stalked, threatened, and harassed the victims, giving them and
10
   their family psychological scars. I take full responsibility,
11
12
   and I am finally sorry for what I did to these people. It
   wasn't just making a mistake or a few mistakes. I went through
13
14
   a series of horrible choices over and over because of my selfish
15 idolizations and desires.
             Obviously, I can't take away the pain and trauma these
16
  people endured because of me. The best that I can do is to be
17
   honest about the kind of person that I was and talk about how I
18
  have changed to become the person that I am today.
19
20
             One thing for certain is that I don't want to live the
   rest of my life as a monster whose sole purpose is to terrorize
21
22
   and ruin peoples' lives, the damage that's been done, none of
23
   which I can fix in any way possible.
24
             Although some day I will have to move back in with my
25 parents when I come home from prison. They are currently in the
```

```
process of buying a new house away from Victim-3, and her family
   can at least know that I have moved much farther away from them.
 3
             My family is devastated by what I have done, but they
  have continually given me support that I need -- sorry -- the
   support I need to become a mentally healthy person again.
   things I said were vulgar, disgusting, evil, and gut-wrenching.
   Although a few words can seem like very many, I will commit
  myself to getting the therapy and mental health treatment I
   need, more specifically by going to an outpatient mental health
10
   program, which will be a new experience for me.
11
             I am so sorry for being a callous, insidious, horrific
12
   human being, and I do not want to or plan to harass, stalk or
13
   threaten another person again. Thank you.
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kaufman.
14
15
             MS. KIM: Your Honor, could I just say a few
   additional words if possible?
16
17
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
18
             MS. KIM:
                      Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to
19
   clarify a few points for the record. The first is that the
20
   defendant, it appears, when he was treated by Dr. Brody, that he
   was treated with the same medication, Luvox and Seroquel.
21
   don't know, there is no indication from these records whether or
22
23
  not those prescriptions changed.
             Second, the defense describes the defendant as now
24
25 being stabilized, but that's clearly not the description given
```

by Dr. Kessler, who says that the medications have not dissipated, nor has the active aggressive fantasy life that the defendant experiences, and he remains very much troubled by his inability to establish meaningful heterosexual relationships. The third is with respect to the autism diagnosis. 5 The government just wants to state on the record, as we have explained in our submission, that there has been no diagnosis, at least that the government has been able to find, apart from when the defendant was two or three years old. By all accounts, the defendant graduated from high school. He was monitored when 10 he was in middle school and high school. He was taken off of 11 specialized education; I think it was called "declassified" in 12 13 or about sixth grade, and then he went on to college where he graduated with a music education degree. 14 15 With respect to the house, that is, the defendant's parents' house, we learned today from defense counsel that the 16 17 defendant's parents plan to sell their house and move; but given 18 how long it usually takes for that process to work, we have no 19 confidence that once the defendant is released, he won't be 20 living down the street from Victim-1 -- Victim-3 for at least a period of time. 21 22 I will make my sort of last set of comments brief. 23 think the Court has completely hit the nail on the head with 24 respect to the danger that the defendant poses to the victims 25 and to the community, and I would like to just sort of walk the

```
Court through very briefly some of the searches that the
   defendant conducted after he was arrested and charged with state
   criminal charges, and the state court judge ordered the orders
   of protection. So that occurred on July 14th. He was
   interviewed by law enforcement on July 13th. On July 13th, he
   searches online for Victim-1, for "incel with gun" again for
   Victim-1. Victim-2, the next day, the 14th, he searches for
   Victims-1 and 2. The 15th he searches for Victim-1, Victim-3,
   for "incel threat." He continues on day after day searching for
   the victims, and as I discussed earlier, he starts searching for
10
   the locations and the residences of the victims, as well as how
11
   to obtain firearms unlawfully and how to assemble a rifle.
12
             And so for all of these reasons, Your Honor, the
13
   government is extremely concerned about the danger that the
14
15
   defendant poses, and we ask the Court to protect the victims and
   the community in this case, to let the victims live freely
16
   without fear, without constantly looking over their shoulders,
17
18
   and without the real risk of being attacked by the defendant.
19
             Thank you, Your Honor.
20
             MR. BURKE: Your Honor --
21
             THE COURT: Mr. Burke, anything you want to say in
22 response?
23
             MR. BURKE: Yes.
                               Just that the sentence that precedes
24 from Dr. Kessler's report regarding the stability from the
  medications, he states -- and this is the sentence before the
25
```

portion that AUSA Kim just read -- it says, "The Prozac and Seroquel medications that he has been taking during the incarceration have provided a sense of stability for him." So that's where I drew Dr. Kessler's view as far as the stabilizing effect of the medication that he has been on for a longer period of time, and from what I understand, a higher dosage of what he was previously receiving, and even Dr. Brody says that he wasn't fully medication compliant. He is now. Yes, there is that oversight, but there will be that oversight as well as part of 10 probation, as part of the required conditions that he continue 11 treatment with Dr. Kessler who will -- and other psychiatrists -- who would be able to test to see if the levels 12 13 are where they should be to make sure that he is medication compliant. 14 15 So, Your Honor, I have said all that I need to say here. My client has said what he has said. He is remorseful 16 and wishes that hopefully that the victims can heal somewhat and 17 begin to move on, and that his statement of being in 20 months 18 he has learned that he doesn't want to return back to prison. 19 20 He doesn't want to return back, and the way that he would return 21 back to prison is if he goes online and does anything he is not 22 supposed to be doing, if he attempts to reach out to any of 23 these victims. 24 The house, and under the current market, they did sign a contract. It will be -- there is fewer houses for sale, and 25

```
from what the brokers told my client's father, the house will
   sell quickly once it is listed. They signed the contract within
   the last few weeks, and it will be listed within a few weeks.
   So that is something that will be in place so that, again,
   they -- he could have moved back to his parents there, but they
  wanted to make sure that they could try to provide some degree
   of closure for the family down the street, and they have done
   that. So that as a sign of, hopefully, and understanding that
   they want them to be able to live their lives without the fear
   that David instilled in them.
10
11
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Burke.
             In accordance with the decision by the Supreme Court
12
   in United States versus Booker, while the United States
13
   sentencing quidelines are not mandatory, this Court nonetheless
14
  must consult those guidelines and take them into account when
15
   sentencing. Therefore, this Court has considered the findings
16
   of fact stated in the presentence report, as well as the
17
   quidelines analysis and recommendations contained therein.
                                                                The
18
   Court has weighed this information, along with the factors
19
20
   listed in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a), in coming to its final
   sentencing decision.
21
22
             The Court adopts the factual recitation in the
23
   presentence investigation report. The presentence investigation
24
  report includes the computation of Mr. Kaufman's offense level
   amounts to 19. His criminal history falls into Category I.
25
                                                                The
```

quidelines sentence for that offense level and criminal history category listed in the presentence report is 30 to 37 months' imprisonment. Count Two has a quidelines range of one to three years 4 of supervised release. In addition, the applicable fine range is \$10,000 to \$100,000. 7 Mr. Kaufman, you have been found quilty of Count Two: Using the mail, any interactive computer service or electronic communication service or electronic communication system of interstate commerce, or any other facility of interstate or 10 foreign commerce, with the intent to kill, injure, harass and 11 12 intimidate another person, to engage in a course of conduct 13 that, A, placed the person in reasonable fear of the death of 14 and serious bodily injury to that person and to that person's family member or intimate partner, and B, caused, attempted to 15 cause, and would be reasonably expected to cause substantial 16 emotional distress to that person, in violation of a temporary 17 or permanent civil or criminal injunction, restraining order, 18 no-contact order, or other order described in Title 18 United 19 20 States Code Section 2226 in violation of 18 United States Code Sections 2264(a)(2)(A), 2261(a)(2)(B), 2261(b)(6), 2266, and 2. 21 22 The Probation Office has recommended that the Court 23 impose a sentence of 30 months' incarceration followed by three 24 years of supervised release. The Probation Office noted that for this offense to which you have pled quilty you must pay a 25

```
special assessment of $100 in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section
          The Probation Office has recommended that no fine be
 2
   imposed, and that restitution shall be provided in the amount of
   $1,404.40. As to restitution, the parties have stipulated to
   the amount, and as so indicated by the Court, $1,404.40.
 6
             Subsection (a) (1) of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 requires
 7
   that courts take into consideration the nature and circumstances
   of the offense, and the history and characteristics of the
   defendant. Subsection (a)(2) of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553 requires
   that the Court consider the need for the sentence to promote
10
   certain objectives of the criminal justice, namely: Punishment,
11
   specific and general deterrence, and rehabilitation. The Court
12
   must also consider the kinds of sentences available, the
13
   sentencing quidelines, pertinent policy statements, the need to
14
   avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities and the need to provide
15
   restitution to victims.
16
             I have considered the arguments made by both sides and
17
   the information provided by the parties, including Mr. Kaufman's
18
19
   acceptance of responsibility for his actions, the nature and
20
   circumstances of the crime, his prior criminal history, his
   history and characteristics, and the seriousness of his crimes.
21
22
             Taking into account the nature and circumstances of
23
   the offense and the history and characteristics of the
24
   defendant, and considering all of the factors listed in 18
   U.S.C. Section 3553(a), this Court finds that a sentence of
25
```

```
30 months' imprisonment to be followed by a term of three years'
   supervised release, with the first six months of supervised
   release in home confinement, is reasonable and appropriate in
   that such terms are sufficient, but not greater than necessary,
   to promote the proper objectives of sentencing.
             With respect to the home confinement, that will be
 6
 7
   with Radio Frequency Monitoring, and the only time that
   Mr. Kaufman can leave the home during the six months of home
   confinement is for medical appointments.
             In addition, the defendant is ordered to pay to the
10
   United States a mandatory special assessment of a $100, which
11
12
   shall be due immediately.
13
             Based on the presentence report's recommendation, I
14
  will not impose a fine.
15
             As to restitution, the parties have stipulated to an
16
   amount of $1,404.40.
             All right. The Court has also issued an Order of
17
   Protection -- or rather a Consent Order of Protection.
18
19
             Mr. Kaufman, upon your release from custody, you shall
20
   be placed on supervised release for a period of three years, the
   first six months to be served under home confinement. During
21
   your term of supervised release, you must comply with the
22
23
   mandatory conditions of supervision as detailed on pages 23 of
24
   the presentence report, and the standard conditions of
   supervision as detailed on pages 24 and 25 of the presentence
25
```

report.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 In addition, Mr. Kaufman, you must obey the following special conditions while on supervised release: One, you shall submit your person, and any property, residence, vehicle, computer or other electronic communications, data storage devices, cloud storage and media and effects to search by any United States probation officer, and if needed, with the assistance of any law enforcement. The search is to be conducted when there is reasonable suspicion concerning violation of a condition of supervision or unlawful conduct by 10 the person being supervised, meaning you, Mr. Kaufman. Failure 11 to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation of 12 supervised release. You shall warn any other occupants that the 13 premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. 14 15 Any search shall be conducted at a reason time and in a reasonable manner. 16

Two, you shall submit -- permit, rather, the U.S.

Probation Office to install any application or software that

allows it to survey and/or monitor all activity on any computer,

automated services, or connected devices that you will use

during the term of supervision and that can access the Internet,

and the United States Probation Office is authorized to install

such applications or software. Tampering with or circumventing

the United States Probation Office's monitoring capability is

prohibited. To ensure compliance with the computer monitoring

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

50 041422.2 PROCEEDINGS

condition, you must allow the probation officer to conduct initial and periodic unannounced examinations of any devices that are subject to monitoring.

You must notify any other persons who use the devices that it is subject to examination pursuant to this condition. You must provide the U.S. Probation Office advance notification of planned use of any devices, and you will not use any devices without approval until compatibility is determined and installation is completed.

Applications for your devices shall be approved by the United States Probation Office once the Probation Office ensures compatibility with the surveillance/monitoring application or software. Websites, chat rooms, messaging, and social networking sites shall be accessed via the devices' web browser unless otherwise authorized. You will not create or access any Internet service provider account or other online service using someone else's account, name, designation or aliases. You will not utilize any peer-to-peer and/or file-sharing applications without the approval of your probation officer. The use of any device in the course of employment will be subject to monitoring or restriction as permitted by your employer.

Three, you must participate in an outpatient mental 23 health treatment program approved by the United States Probation Office. You must continue to take any prescribed medications unless otherwise instructed by the health care provider. You

must contribute to the cost of services rendered based on your ability to pay and availability of third-party payments. Court authorizes the release of available psychological and psychiatric evaluations and reports, including the presentence investigation report, to health care providers. 6 Four, you shall stay at least 100 yards away from the 7 victims listed in Attachment A of the Consent Order of Protection to which you and the government stipulated. That includes their family members as listed in the United States 10 Schedule A. You shall also stay at least a hundred yards away from the home, school, business and place of employment of the 11 12 victims. You shall refrain from having any communication or any 13 other contact, directly or indirectly, to any other person by 14 mail, telephone, email, voicemail, social media, or any other means with the victims. You shall also refrain from harassing, 15 intimidating, threatening or otherwise interfering with the 16

As the parties have stipulated that the protective order in this case applies to three victims listed in the Attachment A of the Consent Protective Order, along with several family members of the victims listed, the Court hereby amends

22 the presentence report to reflect the special conditions of

23 supervised release applies to Victims 1 through 3, and not just

24 to Victims 1 and 2. All right. And it also applies to members

25 of -- the family members of the Victims 1 through 3.

victims and with members of their households.

17

18

19

20

21

```
It's my understanding the government will be seeking
 1
   to amend the protective order to include additional victims; is
 2
   that correct?
 4
             MS. KIM: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kaufman, do you understand
   each and every one of these conditions?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
             THE COURT: Upon your release from custody, you must
 8
   report to the nearest probation office within 72 hours.
   Court recommends that you be supervised by the district of
10
11
  residence.
12
             The sentence as stated is imposed.
13
             Mr. Kaufman, you have the right to appeal your
14
  sentence. Any notice of appeal must be filed within 14 days
   after the entry of judgment, so if you wish to appeal, you must
15
   advise your attorney to prepare and file a notice of appeal
   immediately. If you are enable to pay the costs of an appeal,
17
   you have the right to apply for leave to appeal in forma
18
  pauperis, meaning as a poor person. If you make such a request,
19
20
   the Clerk of the Court must immediately prepare and file a
   notice of appeal on your behalf.
21
22
             Do you understand your right to appeal to the extent
23 it may exist?
24
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
25
             THE COURT: All right. Counselors, are there any
```

```
remaining counts, underlying information or accusatory
   instruments that need to be addressed or dismissed at this time?
 3
            MS. KIM: Your Honor, there is one count, Count One of
   the Indictment, and the government moves to dismiss that count.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. I take it there is no
   opposition. The Court grants the application to dismiss Count
 7
   One.
 8
             Any other applications, any recommendations that
   defense counsel would like me to make to the BOP?
             MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor. We are requesting FMC
10
            That may have the hospital that he would be able to --
11 Devens.
12
             THE COURT: I am sorry?
13
            MR. BURKE: FMC Devens, the hospital there.
             THE COURT: FMC Devens?
14
            MR. BURKE: D-E-V-E-N-S. It's in Massachusetts.
15
             THE COURT: That's the facility that you want me to
16
17 recommend?
            MR. BURKE: Yes, please.
18
             THE COURT: That's because of the medical facilities?
19
20
            MR. BURKE: Correct.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Any other recommendations?
22
            MR. BURKE: No, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: No? Anything further from the government?
24
            MS. KIM: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
25
             THE COURT: All right. There being nothing further,
```

```
this matter is -- we are in recess.
 2
             THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court's in recess.
 3
             (Time noted: 1:15 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```